CWPPRA PPL 20 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score		Cumulative Phase I Fully Funded Cost		Cumulative Phase II Fully Funded Cost
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project	4	5	2	6	6	5	6	28	\$2,567,244		\$21,308,622	
2	Coastwide Planting Project	6	1	4	5	3	3	6	22	\$156,945		\$11,454,144	
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation	5	4		4	5	1	5	19	\$2,376,789		\$21,028,823	
4	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration	1		1	3		6	4	11	\$2,360,609		\$14,272,156	
3	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project	3	3		2	1		4	9	\$2,901,750		\$24,512,651	
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation		6		1	4		3	11	\$2,678,460		\$23,970,580	
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3		2	5		2		3	9	\$3,343,877		\$36,186,242	
2	Monsecour Siphon			6			2	2	8	\$1,939,864		\$8,623,806	
3	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project	2					4	2	6	\$2,946,334		\$30,434,342	
2	Home Place Marsh Creation			3				1	3	\$2,219,037		\$17,937,098	
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0	\$1,554,684		\$25,812,676	

Total

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score	
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project	4						0	0	,
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0	
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3							0	0	1/11
2	Coastwide Planting Project	6						0	0	1
2	Home Place Marsh Creation							0	0	1
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation							0	0	A
	Monsecour Siphon							0	0	11
3	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project	2						0	0	1
	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project	3						0	0	111
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation	5						0	0	111
	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration	1						0	0	11
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STINI MAN	CRO FROM "SORT Final Vote" WORKSHIFT	21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126	

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score	Phase I Fully Funded Cost	Cumulative Phase I Fully Funded Cost	Phase II Fully Funded Cost	Cumulative Phase II Fully Funded Cost
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project		5	,,,				1	5	\$2,567,244		\$21,308,622	
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0	\$1,554,684		\$25,812,676	
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3		2					1	2	\$3,343,877		\$36,186,242	
2	Coastwide Planting Project		1					1	1	\$156,945	_	\$11,454,144	
2	Home Place Marsh Creation					•		0	0	\$2,219,037		\$17,937,098	
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation		6					1	6	\$2,678,460		\$23,970,580	
2	Monsecour Siphon							0	0	\$1,939,864		\$8,623,806	
	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project							0	0	\$2,946,334		\$30,434,342	
	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project		3					1	3				
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation		4		•			1	4	\$2,901,750		\$24,512,651	
	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration							0	0	\$2,376,789 \$2,360,609		\$21,028,823 \$14,272,156	

Total

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

CCPA 12/8/10

					-				
Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum o Point Score
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project			2				0	0
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection			_				0	0
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3			5				0	0
2	Coastwide Planting Project			4			z.	0	0
2	Home Place Marsh Creation			M				0	0
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation	-						0	0
2	Monsecour Siphon			6				0	0
	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project							0	0
3	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project							0	0
	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation	21						0	0
4	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration			1				0	0
	check	0 21	0 21	0 21	0 21	0 21	0 21	0 36	0



RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

Regior	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project				6			0	0
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3							0	0
2	Coastwide Planting Project				3			0	0
2	Home Place Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation				1			0	0
2	Monsecour Siphon							0	0
3	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project							0	0
3	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project				2			0	0
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation				4			0	0
	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration				3			0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL20 to the Task Force:

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).

check

21

21

8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

126 CM

R. Hartun

CWPPRA PPL 20 Technical Committee VOTE

8-Dec-10

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project					6		0	0
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3					2		0	0
2	Coastwide Planting Project					3		0	0
2	Home Place Marsh Creation							0	0 .
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation					4		0	0
2	Monsecour Siphon							0	0
3	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project							0	0
3	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project					1		0	0
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation	1				5		0	0
	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration							0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RIIN MA	ACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET	21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRÇS	No. of votes	Sum o Point Score
1	Bayou Bonfouca Marsh Creation Project						5	0	0
1	Unknown Pass to Rigolets Shoreline Protection							0	0
2	Bayou Dupont Sediment Delivery - Marsh Creation 3							0	0
2	Coastwide Planting Project						3	0	0
2	Home Place Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Lake Lery Shoreline Marsh Creation					х .		0	0
2	Monsecour Siphon						2	0	0
3	Cote Blanche Freshwater and Sediment Introduction and Shoreline Protection Project						4	0	0
	Terrebonne Bay Marsh Creation-Nourishment Project							0	0
4	Cameron-Creole Watershed Grand Bayou Marsh Creation						1	0	0
4	Kelso Bayou Marsh Creation and Hydrologic Restoration						6	0	0
	check	0 21	0	0	0	0	0	0 36	0



RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.